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Summary. The partial least squares (PLS) approach first constructs new explanatory variables, known as factors (or components), which are linear combinations of available predictor variables. A small subset of these factors is then chosen and retained for prediction. We study the performance of PLS in estimating single-index models, especially when the predictor variables exhibit high collinearity. We show that PLS estimates are consistent up to a constant of proportionality. We present three simulation studies that compare the performance of PLS in estimating single-index models with that of sliced inverse regression (SIR). In the first two studies, we find that PLS performs better than SIR when collinearity exists. In the third study, we learn that PLS performs well even when there are multiple dependent variables, the link function is non-linear and the shape of the functional form is not known.
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1. Introduction

The partial least squares (PLS) approach is well suited for the prediction of regression models with many predictor variables (Garthwaite, 1994) and is commonly used (for example, for various applications in the chemometrics literature, see Martens and Næs (1989) and Frank and Friedman (1993)). Duan and Li (1991) proposed a new approach, called sliced inverse regression (SIR), for estimating single-index models ( Härdle et al., 1993). The advantage of SIR in this case is that the link function relating the dependent variable to the linear combinations of predictor variables can be non-linear, and its functional form need not be known a priori.

In this paper we extend the PLS approach to estimate the single-index models considered by Duan and Li (1991). We also investigate the effect of high collinearity on the performance of PLS and SIR.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the structure of single-index models and describe the PLS and SIR approaches. We then show that the PLS estimates are consistent up to a scaling constant, even if the functional form of the true link function is not known beforehand. Section 3 presents three simulation studies to illustrate the performance of the PLS approach in estimating single-index models, especially in the presence of collinearity. We give our concluding remarks in Section 4.
2. Model structure, partial least squares and sliced inverse regression

2.1. Single-index model

We describe the single-index model as

\[ y_i = g(\beta_0 + \chi_i' \beta) + \epsilon_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \]  

(1)

where \( g \) is an unknown link function, \( \chi_i' = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ip}) \) and \( \beta \) is a \( p \times 1 \) vector. The error terms \( \epsilon_i \) are normally distributed with zero mean and variance \( \sigma^2_\epsilon \). Useful references regarding model (1) and its generalizations can be found in Brillinger (1983), Duan and Li (1991) and Härdle et al. (1993).

If we further assume that \( x_i \) are independent identically distributed (IID) normal random variables with covariance \( \Sigma_{xx} \) and are independent of \( \epsilon_i \), then Brillinger (1983) showed that

\[ \text{cov}(x_i, y_i) = \text{cov}(x_i, g(U_i)) = \text{cov}(x_i, U_i) \frac{\text{cov}(g(U_i), U_i)}{\text{var}(U_i)} = k \Sigma_{xx} \beta, \]  

(2)

where \( U_i = \beta_0 + \chi_i' \beta \) and the constant \( k = \text{cov}(g(U_i), U_i) / \text{var}(U_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). From this result, Brillinger showed that the ordinary least squares estimator of \( \beta \) is a consistent estimator up to a constant of proportionality, even though the assumption that \( E(y|x) \) is a linear function of \( x \) does not hold. In the next subsection we show that the PLS estimator has the same property.

2.2. Partial least squares

Wold developed the PLS approach (Wold, 1980) by building on his mid-1960s work with fixed point algorithms (see Wold (1981)). PLS has been used extensively in chemistry (see Martens and Næs (1989) and Höskuldsson (1988)), and its statistical properties have been investigated by, for example, Stone and Brooks (1990), Næs and Helland (1993), Helland and Almøy (1994) and Garthwaite (1994). One desirable property of PLS is that it has a closed form, which is given in equation (3).

Assume that the variables in the set \( (x_i', y_i') \) are independent for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then, the PLS estimator of \( \beta \) is given by

\[ \hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}} = \hat{R}(\hat{R}' S_{xx} \hat{R})^{-1} \hat{R}' y, \]  

(3)

where \( \hat{R} = (s_{xy}, S_{xx}s_{xy}, \ldots, S_{xx}^{q-1}s_{xy}) \) is the \( p \times q \) matrix of the Krylov sequence,

\[ S_{xx} = \frac{X'(I - 11'/n)X}{n-1} \]

is the \( p \times p \) matrix,

\[ s_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{n-1} \]

is the \( p \times 1 \) vector, \( Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)' \), \( X = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)' \), \( I \) is an identity matrix and \( 1 = (1, \ldots, 1)' \). For detailed derivations, see Helland (1990), Stone and Brooks (1990), Næs and Helland (1993) and Helland and Almøy (1994). When the number of factors retained equals the number of variables \( (q = p) \), the PLS estimator is identical with the classical ordinary least squares estimator (Helland (1990), page 101). When the number of factors to retain is less than the number of variables \( (q < p) \), Helland (1992) and Næs and Helland (1993) used the Akaike information criterion AIC to select the factors \( (\hat{q}) \), although cross-validation is the most common method. Hurvich and Tsai (1989) and McQuarrie and Tsai
(1998) showed that the corrected Akaike information criterion AIC$_C$ outperforms AIC in model selection, and hence we use AIC$_C$ to select $\hat{q}$. Note that PLS estimates more parameters than there are factors because the dependent variable is used to construct the factors, as pointed out by the Associate Editor.

To describe the asymptotic properties of PLS, we first assume that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_p)'$ is normally distributed with mean $\mu_x$ and positive definite covariance matrix $\Sigma_{xx}$, and that $\mathbf{x}$ is independent of $\epsilon$, which has finite variance $\Sigma_\epsilon$. In addition, we consider the following conventional assumption.

**Assumption 1.** $S_{xx}$ and $s_{xy}$ converge to $\Sigma_{xx}$ and $\sigma_{xy}$ respectively in probability as $n \to \infty$.

Next we adopt Helland and Almøy’s (1994) condition, given below.

**Condition 1.** There exist eigenvectors $v_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, M$) of $\Sigma_{xx}$, all corresponding to different eigenvalues $\lambda_j$, such that $\sigma_{xy} = \sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j v_j$ (where $\sigma_{xy}$ is the covariance of $x$ and $y$) and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_M$ are non-zero.

Now we can derive a desirable asymptotic property of the PLS estimate, as follows.

**Proposition 1.** Let $U = \beta_0 + \mathbf{x}' \hat{\beta}$, and assume that $E[|g(U)|] < \infty$ and $E[|U g(U)|] < \infty$. If condition 1 holds and $q = M$, then the PLS estimator ($\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$) is a consistent estimator of $\beta$ up to a constant of proportionality.

**Proof.** We follow the approach used by Helland and Almøy (1994). Let $\beta^* = \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \sigma_{xy}$. From assumption 1 and equation (3),

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}} \to R(R' \Sigma_{xx} R)^{-1} R' \Sigma_{xx} \beta^*$$

in probability as $n \to \infty$, where $R = (\sigma_{xy}, \Sigma_{xx} \sigma_{xy}, \ldots, \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \sigma_{xy})$. It follows from condition 1 and $q = M$ that $\beta^*$ is contained in the space spanned by $R$. Consequently, $\Sigma_{xx}^{1/2} \beta^*$ is contained in the space spanned by $R^* = \Sigma_{xx}^{1/2} R$. Therefore,

$$R^* (R^* R^*)^{-1} R'^* \Sigma_{xx}^{1/2} \beta^* = \Sigma_{xx}^{1/2} \beta^*.$$

Hence,

$$R (R^* R^*)^{-1} R'^* \Sigma_{xx} \beta^* = \beta^*.$$

This implies that $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$ converges to $\beta^*$ in probability as $n \to \infty$.

Applying the assumptions stated in proposition 1 and noting that $\sigma_{xy} = k \Sigma_{xx} \beta$ from equation (2), we obtain $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}} \to \beta^* = \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \sigma_{xy} = k \beta$. Hence, $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$ is a strongly consistent estimate of $\beta$, up to the constant of proportionality in $k$, for the single-index model (1). This completes the proof.

### 2.3. Sliced inverse regression

Duan and Li (1991) proposed an alternative method for estimating $\beta$ in single-index models, called SIR. To apply SIR for estimating the vector $\beta$ in model (1), we follow three steps:

(a) sort the matrix $X$ according to the values of $Y$,
(b) partition the sorted $X$-matrix into $H$ slices and compute the mean of $X$ in each slice, $\bar{X}_h$,

where $h = 1, \ldots, H$, and
(c) compute the weighted covariance matrix of the sliced mean vectors $\bar{X}_h$,

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \hat{p}_h (\bar{X}_h - \bar{X}) (\bar{X}_h - \bar{X})',$$

where $\hat{p}_h$ is the proportion of observations falling into slice $h$ and $\bar{X}$ contains the sample means of $p$-variables in $X$.

After completing steps (a)–(c), the SIR estimate, $\hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}}$, is the principal eigenvector for the decomposition of $\hat{\Sigma}_n$ with respect to $S_{xx}$.

Duan and Li (1991) showed that $\hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}}$ is a consistent estimator of $\beta$, and that SIR estimates are not sensitive to the number of slices used. The computational algorithm for estimating $\hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}}$ can be obtained as a GAUSS file at the location

http://www.gsm.ucdavis.edu/~prasad/Abstracts/sir.gau

Interested readers may also refer to Li (1991) and Chen and Li (1998) to learn more about SIR. Next, we compare the performance of $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$ relatively to $\hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}}$.

3. Simulations

We use the following three simulation examples to study the performance of PLS with respect to SIR in estimating the vector $\beta$ for the single-index model (1), especially when predictor variables exhibit collinearity. In the first two studies we shall see that the PLS estimates $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$ are quite close to the true $\beta$, and that PLS performs better than SIR when two (or all) predictor variables are highly correlated. The third example illustrates the efficacy of PLS regression when there are multiple dependent variables and the non-linear link function is not known.

3.1. Two predictor variables highly correlated

Consider the model

$$y_i = x_i' \beta + \epsilon_i,$$

where $\beta = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)'$, the $x_i^* = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{i5})$ are distributed as $N(0, I_{4 \times 4})$, $x_{i5} = x_{i4} + \delta \epsilon_i$, $x_i' = (x_i^*, x_{i5})$, $\epsilon_i$ and $\epsilon_i$ are IID $N(0, 1)$ and $x_{i5}'$; $\epsilon_i$ and $\epsilon_i$ are independent of each other for $i = 1, \ldots, 1000$.

We use the measure (estimate of $\beta_5$)/(estimate of $\beta_1$) to assess the relative performances. We compute $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}}$, which are averaged over 1000 realizations. Fig. 1(a) presents $\hat{\beta}_{5, \text{PLS}}/\hat{\beta}_{1, \text{PLS}}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{5, \text{SIR}}/\hat{\beta}_{1, \text{SIR}}$ as a function of $\delta$. We see that $\hat{\beta}_{5, \text{PLS}}/\hat{\beta}_{1, \text{PLS}}$ is close to $\beta_5/\beta_1$ ($= 10$) over the entire range of $\delta$, whereas $\hat{\beta}_{5, \text{SIR}}/\hat{\beta}_{1, \text{SIR}}$ is far from the true ratio, 10, when collinearity between predictor variables is very high (i.e. $\delta$ is small). Furthermore, in Fig. 1(b) we observe that PLS outperforms SIR even if the link function $g$ is non-linear as given by

$$y_i = \ln |1 + x_i' \beta| + \epsilon_i,$$

where $x_i'$, $\beta$ and $\epsilon_i$ are defined as in equation (4). However, we caution the user that $\hat{\beta}_{\text{PLS}}$ may be a poor estimator of $\beta$ for moderate sample sizes if the relationship between $y$ and $x$ is non-linear. In conclusion, our limited simulation studies illustrate that PLS can perform better than SIR in the presence of collinearity between two predictor variables. Next, we consider the situation in which all predictor variables are correlated.
3.2. All predictor variables equally correlated

Consider the model given by equation (4) in which \( x'_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{i5}) \) are distributed as \( N(0, \Sigma_{xx}) \), the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of \( \Sigma_{xx} \) are 1 and \( \rho \) respectively, \( \epsilon_i \) are IID \( N(0, 1) \) and \( x_i \) are independent of \( \epsilon_j \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 1000 \). As before, we compute \( \hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}} \) and \( \hat{\beta}_{\text{SIR}} \) as an average over the 1000 realizations.

The covariance matrix \( \Sigma_{xx} \) has two different eigenvalues, \( 1 - \rho \) and \( 1 + 4\rho \), and the eigenvalue \( 1 - \rho \) repeats four times. Consequently, the condition number (see Belsley (1991)) is \( (1 + 4\rho)/(1 - \rho) \), which tends to \( \infty \) as \( \rho \to 1 \). Hence, the effect of collinearity increases as \( \rho \) increases. Fig. 2 shows that the PLS estimate has less variation than the SIR estimate as \( \rho \to 1 \), suggesting that SIR estimates are more sensitive to collinearity effects than PLS estimates are. This is more pronounced when the sample size is small \( (n = 100) \). We observe that \( \hat{\beta}_{5,\text{SIR}}/\hat{\beta}_{1,\text{SIR}} \) can be negative, whereas \( \hat{\beta}_{5,\text{PLS}}/\hat{\beta}_{1,\text{PLS}} \) stays close to 10 as \( \rho \to 1 \) (the results are not presented here). When the link function of the single-index model is non-linear, as given in equation (5), we find effects similar to those shown in Fig. 2 (also not presented here). Finally, we report the efficacy of PLS regression in the absence of collinearity.
3.3. Predictor variables independent

When the predictor variables are independent, PLS regression is the same as the ordinary least squares approach since the rank of $\hat{R}$ in equation (3) is 1 (i.e., when $S_{xx} \rightarrow I$, $\hat{q} \rightarrow 1$). In this case, the simulation results of Duan and Li (1991), pages 522–523, show that PLS and SIR provide accurate estimates of the unknown direction vector $\beta$ for both linear and non-linear link functions. We simulated data from the linear and non-linear functions given by equations (4) and (5), assuming that $\Sigma_{xx} = I_{5 \times 5}$. Our Monte Carlo results (not presented here) show that PLS not only is more efficient (i.e. the standard deviation of the estimate is smaller) than SIR when the link function is linear as in equation (4) but also provides more accurate estimates (i.e. closer to the true parameter value) than SIR when the link function is non-linear as in equation (5). In addition, we note that PLS regression works well for the case of multivariate dependent variables and an unknown non-linear link function. To exemplify this point, consider the model

\begin{align}
    y_{i1} &= x_i^\prime B_1 + \epsilon_{i1}, \\
    y_{i2} &= \ln |1 + x_i^\prime B_2| + \epsilon_{i2},
\end{align}

where $B_1 = (0, 0, 1, 2)^\prime$, $B_2 = (1, 2, 0, 0)^\prime$, $B = (B_1, B_2)$. $x_i' = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{i4})$ are distributed as $N(0, I_{4 \times 4})$, the $\epsilon_i$ are IID $N(0, \Sigma_\epsilon)$, where $\Sigma_\epsilon = (0.1)^2 C$, the diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the correlation matrix $C$ are 1 and 0.5 respectively and the $x_i$ are independent of $\epsilon_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 1000$. Table 1 presents the average over 100 realizations for $\hat{B}_{\text{PLS}} = (\hat{B}_{\text{PLS1}}, \hat{B}_{\text{PLS2}})$ and their standard deviations, where $\hat{B}_{\text{PLS}}$ is computed by using the algorithm given in Martens and Næs (1989), pages 157–158. Clearly, $\hat{B}_{\text{PLS}}$ is a good estimator of $B$ (up to a scaling constant), especially since $\hat{B}_{\text{PLS2}}$ are estimated without specifying the function $\ln(\cdot)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\hat{B}_{\text{PLS1}}$</th>
<th>$\hat{B}_{\text{PLS2}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.00023 (0.0014)</td>
<td>0.4453 (0.0808)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.00002 (0.0015)</td>
<td>0.8814 (0.0460)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4478 (0.0105)</td>
<td>-0.0074 (0.0804)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8956 (0.0213)</td>
<td>-0.0073 (0.0834)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations.
Fig. 3. (a) Shape of the function in equation (7) and (b) $y_2$ versus estimated $x'B_{PLS2}$

Fig. 3 gives both the true plot of the non-linear equation (7) (Fig. 3(a)) and the plot of $y_{i2}$ versus $x_i'B_{PLS2}$ (Fig. 3(b)). The striking similarity between Figs 3(a) and 3(b) shows that the PLS estimator characterizes the unknown link function reasonably well. We provide a theoretical justification for this finding in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** Assume that $x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_p)$ is normally distributed with mean $\mu_x$ and covariance matrix $I_{p \times p}$, and that $x$ is independent of $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m)$, which has finite variance $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$. In addition, let $y = g + \varepsilon$, where $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$, $g' = (g_1, \ldots, g_m)$, $g_j = g_j(U_j)$, $U_j = \beta_{yj} + x'B_j$, $\beta_{yj}$ is a scalar and $B_j$ is a $p \times 1$ vector. Assume that $E(|g_j(U_j)|) < \infty$ and $E(|U_j g_j(U_j)|) < \infty$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Then the PLS estimator $\hat{B}_{PLS}$ is a consistent estimator of $B = (B_1, \ldots, B_m)$ up to constants of proportionality.

**Proof.** Applying the assumptions stated in proposition 2 and adopting Brillinger’s (1983) approach, we have
\[ \text{cov}(y, x) = \text{cov}\{ (g_1(U), \ldots, g_m(U_m))^\prime, x \} \]
\[ = (\text{cov}(U_1, x) \text{cov}(g_1(U_1), U_1)/\text{var}(U_1), \ldots, \text{cov}(U_m, x) \text{cov}(g_m(U_m), U_m)/\text{var}(U_m))^\prime \]
\[ = (B_1 \text{cov}(g_1(U_1), U_1)/\text{var}(U_1), \ldots, B_m \text{cov}(g_m(U_m), U_m)/\text{var}(U_m))^\prime \]
\[ = GB', \]

where \( G \) is an \( m \times m \) diagonal matrix whose \( j \)th element is \( \text{cov}(g_j(U), U_j)/\text{var}(U_j) \). Since the predictor variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_p \) are independent, the PLS estimators are the same as the ordinary least squares estimators. Hence \( \hat{B}_{\text{PLS}} = S_{XX'}^{-1}S_{XY_m} \) is a strongly consistent estimate of \( B \), up to the constants of proportionality in \( G \), where
\[ S_{XY_m} = \frac{X'(I - 11'/n)Y_m}{n - 1}, \]
\[ Y_m = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_m) \text{ and } Y_j = (y_{1j}, \ldots, y_{nj})^\prime \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, m. \]

4. Conclusions

We have established the consistency of PLS estimators in single-index models. We find that, if the predictor variables are multivariate normally distributed and the sample size is large, then the relative sizes of the regression coefficients are correctly estimated by PLS even if the true link function is non-linear and unknown. In addition, PLS can perform better than SIR, which is designed for situations in which non-linearity is present. In other words, PLS estimators have some robustness to non-linearity in large samples. Since these findings are based on asymptotic theory and limited Monte Carlo studies, future researchers may study the influence of collinearity in dimension reduction by analyzing empirical data.
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